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#### Abstract

The half inverse problem is to construct coefficients of the operator in a whole interval by using one spectrum and potential known in a semi interval. In this paper, by using the Hocstadt-Lieberman and Yang-Zettl's methods we show that if $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are known on the interval $(\pi / 2, \pi)$, then only one spectrum suffices to determine $p(x), q(x)$ functions and $\beta, h$ coefficients on the interval $(0, \pi)$ for impulsive diffusion operator with discontinuous coefficient.


## 1. Introduction

Inverse spectral problem is recovering the operator from its given spectral datas. These problems are of great importance in applied mathematics and physics, for example, vibration of a string, quantum mechanics etc. Inverse spectral problems for regular or singular Sturm-Liouville and diffusion operators are investigated in [1-32].

First results on half inverse problems for regular Sturm-Liouville operator were given by Hochstadt and Lieberman in [33]. In later years, half inverse problems for various Sturm-Liouville operators and diffusion operators, i.e., quadratic pencils of Sturm-Liouville operators, were studied by authors [34-44].

In this paper, we denote the problem $L=L(p, q, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, h, H)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell y(x)=-y^{\prime \prime}(x)+[2 \lambda p(x)+q(x)] y(x)=\lambda^{2} \rho(x) y(x), x \in(0, \pi) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(y):=y^{\prime}(0)-h y(0)=0, V(y):=y^{\prime}(\pi)+H y(\pi)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the discontinuity conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+0\right)=\beta y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-0\right)  \tag{3}\\
y^{\prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+0\right)=\beta^{-1} y^{\prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-0\right)+\gamma y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]where real-valued functions $p(x) \in W_{2}^{1}(0, \pi), q(x) \in L_{2}(0, \pi), \lambda$ is the spectral parameter, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are real numbers, $\beta>0,|\beta-1|^{2}+\gamma^{2} \neq 0$ and
\[

\rho(x)=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1, & 0<x<\frac{\pi}{2} \\
\alpha^{2}, & \frac{\pi}{2}<x<\pi
\end{array}
$$, 0<\alpha \neq 1\right.
\]

To study the half inverse problem, we consider a boundary value problem $\widetilde{L}$, together with $L$, of the same form but with different coefficients $\widetilde{p}(x), \widetilde{q}(x), \widetilde{h}, \alpha, \gamma$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$. Hence, we consider a second problem $\widetilde{L}=L(\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{q}, \alpha, \widetilde{\beta}, \gamma, \widetilde{h}, H)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\ell} y(x)=-y^{\prime \prime}(x)+[2 \lambda \widetilde{p}(x)+\widetilde{q}(x)] y(x)=\lambda^{2} \rho(x) y(x), x \in(0, \pi) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(y):=y^{\prime}(0)-\widetilde{h} y(0)=0, V(y):=y^{\prime}(\pi)+H y(\pi)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the discontinuity conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+0\right)=\widetilde{\beta} y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-0\right)  \tag{6}\\
y^{\prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+0\right)=\widetilde{\beta}^{-1} y^{\prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-0\right)+\gamma y\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The aim of this paper is to solve half inverse problem for the problem $L$ by using the Hocstadt-Lieberman and Yang-Zettl's methods. That is, we proved that if $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ functions are known on the interval $(\pi / 2, \pi)$, then only one spectrum suffices to determine $p(x), q(x)$ functions and $\beta, h$ coefficients on the interval $(0, \pi)$ for impulsive diffusion operator with discontinuous coefficient of problem $L$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ be the solution of equation (1) satisfying the initial conditions $\varphi(0, \lambda)=1, \varphi^{\prime}(0, \lambda)=0$. There are the functions $A(x, t)$ and $B(x, t)$ whose first order partial derivatives are summable on $(0, \pi)$ for each $x \in(0, \pi)$. The following represantation for $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ solution can be obtained from the appendix

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(x, \lambda)= & \beta^{+} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(x)-\frac{\omega(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}\right)+\beta^{-} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(x)+\frac{\omega(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{\mu^{+}(x)} A(x, t) \cos \lambda t d t+\int_{0}^{\mu^{+}(x)} B(x, t) \sin \lambda t d t \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta \pm \frac{1}{\alpha \beta}\right), \mu^{ \pm}(x)= \pm \sqrt{\rho(x)} x+\frac{\pi}{2}(1 \mp \sqrt{\rho(x)}), \omega(x)=\int_{0}^{x} p(t) d t$.
It is easy to verify from the integral representation above that the following asymptotic relation is valid for $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x, \lambda)=\beta^{+} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(x)-\frac{\omega(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}\right)+\beta^{-} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(x)+\frac{\omega(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}\right)+O\left(\frac{\exp \tau \mu^{+}(x)}{\lambda}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau:=|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|$.
The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\lambda):=V(\varphi)=\varphi^{\prime}(\pi, \lambda)+H \varphi(\pi, \lambda) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the characteristic function for the problem $L$. Since the boundary value problem $L$ is self-adjoint, all zeros of $\Delta(\lambda)$ are real and simple under the following conditions

$$
y^{\prime}(0) \overline{y(0)}-y^{\prime}(\pi) \overline{y(\pi)}=0
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left\{\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+q(x)|y(x)|^{2}\right\} d x>0
$$

From (8) and (9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\lambda)=\Delta_{0}(\lambda)+O\left(\frac{\exp \tau \mu^{+}(\pi)}{\lambda}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{0}(\lambda) & =-\beta^{+}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+\beta^{-}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \\
& +H \beta^{+} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+H \beta^{-} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $\Delta(\lambda)$ is entire in $\lambda$. Zeros $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ of $\Delta(\lambda)$ coincide with the eigenvalues of the problem $L$. We note that for $\lambda \in\left\{\lambda:\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right|>\delta\right\}$ for fixed $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\lambda) \geq\left(\beta^{+}|\lambda \alpha|-C\right) \exp \left(\tau \mu^{+}(\pi)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main Result

In this section, we consider the following half inverse problem by using Hochstadt-Lieberman and Yang-Zettl's methods in [33,40] for problem $L$.

Lemma 3.1. If $\lambda_{n}=\widetilde{\lambda_{n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\beta=\widetilde{\beta}$.
Proof. Since $\lambda_{n}=\widetilde{\lambda_{n}}$ and $\Delta(\lambda), \widetilde{\Delta}(\lambda)$ are entire functions in $\lambda$ of order 1 by Hadamard factorization theorem,

$$
\Delta(\lambda)=C e^{a \lambda} \widetilde{\Delta}(\lambda)
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
Letting $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ for all imaginary $\lambda^{\prime}$ 's, we conclude from

$$
\lim _{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{\widetilde{\Delta}(\lambda)}=\frac{\beta^{+}}{\widetilde{\beta}^{+}} e^{i\left(\frac{\omega(\pi)-\bar{\omega}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)}
$$

that

$$
a=0, C=\frac{\beta^{+}}{\widetilde{\beta}^{+}} e^{i\left(\frac{\omega(\pi)-\widetilde{\widetilde{\omega}}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)},
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\lambda)=C \widetilde{\Delta}(\lambda) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, (12) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{0}(\lambda)-C \widetilde{\Delta}_{0}(\lambda)=C\left(\widetilde{\Delta}(\lambda)-\widetilde{\Delta}_{0}(\lambda)\right)-\left(\Delta(\lambda)-\Delta_{0}(\lambda)\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& C\left(\widetilde{\Delta}(\lambda)-\widetilde{\Delta}_{0}(\lambda)\right)-\left(\Delta(\lambda)-\Delta_{0}(\lambda)\right) \\
& =-\beta^{+}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+\beta^{-}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \\
& +H \beta^{+} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+H \beta^{-} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& -C\left[-\widetilde{\beta}^{+}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{\widetilde{p}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\widetilde{\omega}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+\widetilde{\beta}^{-}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{\widetilde{p}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\widetilde{\omega}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+H \widetilde{\beta}^{+} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+H \widetilde{\beta}^{-} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

If we multiply both sides of (14) with $\sin \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)$ and integrate with respect to $\lambda$ in $(0, T)$ for any positive real number $T$, then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left[C\left(\widetilde{\Delta}(\lambda)-\widetilde{\Delta}_{0}(\lambda)\right)-\left(\Delta(\lambda)-\Delta_{0}(\lambda)\right)\right] \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) d \lambda \\
& =\int_{0}^{T}\left[-\beta^{+}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+\beta^{-}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)\right.  \tag{15}\\
& \left.+H \beta^{+} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+H \beta^{-} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)\right] \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) d \lambda \\
& -C \int_{0}^{T}\left[-\widetilde{\beta}^{+}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{\tilde{\widetilde{c}}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\widetilde{\omega}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+\widetilde{\beta}^{-}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{\tilde{\widetilde{c}}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\widetilde{\omega}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+H \widetilde{\beta}^{+} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+H \widetilde{\beta}^{-} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)\right] \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) d \lambda .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(\widetilde{\Delta}(\lambda)-\widetilde{\Delta}_{0}(\lambda)\right)=O(1)$ and $\left(\Delta(\lambda)-\Delta_{0}(\lambda)\right)=O(1)$ for $\lambda$ in $(0, T)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C \alpha \widetilde{\beta}^{+}}{4} \cos \left(\frac{\omega(\pi)-\widetilde{\omega}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)-\frac{\alpha \beta^{+}}{4}=O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \cos \left(\frac{\omega(\pi)-\widetilde{\omega}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)=\frac{\beta^{+}}{\widetilde{\beta}^{+}} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if we multiply both sides of (14) with $\sin \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)$ and integrate again with respect to $\lambda$ in $(0, T)$, then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \cos \left(\frac{\omega(\pi)-\widetilde{\omega}(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)=\frac{\beta^{-}}{\widetilde{\beta}^{-}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\beta>0$ into account, (17) and (18) implies that $\beta=\widetilde{\beta}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be the spectrum of both L and $\widetilde{L}$. If $p(x)=\widetilde{p}(x)$ and $q(x)=\widetilde{q}(x)$ on $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right)$, then $h=\widetilde{h}$, $\beta=\widetilde{\beta}, p(x)=\widetilde{p}(x)$ and $q(x)=\widetilde{q}(x)$ almost everywhere on $(0, \pi)$.

Proof. It is clear from [24] that the solutions $\varphi(x, \lambda), \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)$ of equations (1) and (4), respectively, with the initial conditions $\varphi(0, \lambda)=\widetilde{\varphi}(0, \lambda)=1, \varphi^{\prime}(0, \lambda)=h, \widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}(0, \lambda)=\widetilde{h}$ can be expressed in the integral forms on ( $0, \frac{\pi}{2}$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(x, \lambda)=\cos (\lambda x-\omega(x))+\int_{0}^{x} A(x, t) \cos \lambda t d t+\int_{0}^{x} B(x, t) \sin \lambda t d t  \tag{19}\\
& \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)=\cos (\lambda x-\widetilde{\omega}(x))+\int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{A}(x, t) \cos \lambda t d t+\int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{B}(x, t) \sin \lambda t d t . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where the kernels $\widetilde{A}(x, t), \widetilde{B}(x, t)$ have properties similar to those of $A(x, t), B(x, t)$.
Using (19) and (20), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(x, \lambda) \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)=\frac{1}{2}[\cos (2 \lambda x-\theta(x))+\cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x))] \\
& +\int_{0}^{x} A(x, t) \cos (\lambda x-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) \cos \lambda t d t+\int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{A}(x, t) \cos (\lambda x-\omega(x)) \cos \lambda t d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{x} B(x, t) \sin \lambda t \cos (\lambda x-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) d t+\int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{B}(x, t) \sin \lambda t \cos (\lambda x-\omega(x)) d t \\
& +\left(\int_{0}^{x} A(x, t) \cos \lambda t d t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{A}(x, t) \cos \lambda t d t\right)+\left(\int_{0}^{x} B(x, t) \sin \lambda t d t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{B}(x, t) \sin \lambda t d t\right) \\
& +\left(\int_{0}^{x} A(x, t) \cos \lambda t d t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{B}(x, t) \sin \lambda t d t\right)+\left(\int_{0}^{x} \widetilde{A}(x, t) \cos \lambda t d t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{x} B(x, t) \sin \lambda t d t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta(x)=\omega(x)+\widetilde{\omega}(x)$.
By extending the range of $A(x, t), \widetilde{A}(x, t)$ evenly and $B(x, t), \widetilde{B}(x, t)$ oddly with respect to the argument $t$, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(x, \lambda) \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)=\frac{1}{2}[\cos (2 \lambda x-\theta(x))+\cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x))] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{0}^{x} H_{c}(x, t) \cos (2 \lambda t-\theta(t)) d t-\int_{0}^{x} H_{s}(x, t) \sin (2 \lambda t-\theta(t)) d t\right] \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{c}(x, t)=2 A(x, x-2 t) \cos [\theta(t)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)]+2 \widetilde{A}(x, x-2 t) \cos [\theta(t)-\omega(x)] \\
& \quad-2 B(x, x-2 t) \sin [\theta(t)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)]-2 \widetilde{B}(x, x-2 t) \sin [\theta(t)-\omega(x)] \\
& \quad+K_{1}(x, t) \cos \theta(t)-K_{2}(x, t) \cos \theta(t)-M_{1}(x, t) \sin \theta(t)+M_{2}(x, t) \sin \theta(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{s}(x, t)=2 A(x, x-2 t) \sin [\theta(t)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)]+2 \widetilde{A}(x, x-2 t) \sin [\theta(t)-\omega(x)] \\
& \quad+2 B(x, x-2 t) \cos [\theta(t)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)]+2 \widetilde{B}(x, x-2 t) \cos [\theta(t)-\omega(x)] \\
& \quad+K_{1}(x, t) \sin \theta(t)-K_{2}(x, t) \sin \theta(t)+M_{1}(x, t) \cos \theta(t)-M_{2}(x, t) \cos \theta(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$K_{1}(x, t)=\int_{-x}^{x-2 t} A(x, s) \widetilde{A}(x, s+2 t) d s+\int_{2 t-x}^{x} A(x, s) \widetilde{A}(x, s-2 t) d s$,
$K_{2}(x, t)=-\int_{-x}^{x-2 t} B(x, s) \widetilde{B}(x, s+2 t) d s-\int_{2 t-x}^{x} B(x, s) \widetilde{B}(x, s-2 t) d s$,
$M_{1}(x, t)=\int_{-x}^{x-2 t} A(x, s) \widetilde{B}(x, s+2 t) d s-\int_{2 t-x}^{x} A(x, s) \widetilde{B}(x, s-2 t) d s$,
$M_{2}(x, t)=-\int_{-x}^{x-2 t} B(x, s) \widetilde{A}(x, s+2 t) d s+\int_{2 t-x}^{x} B(x, s) \widetilde{A}(x, s-2 t) d s$.
Now, let us write the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x, \lambda)+[2 \lambda p(x)+q(x)] \varphi(x, \lambda)=\lambda^{2} \rho(x) \varphi(x, \lambda) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime \prime}(x, \lambda)+[2 \lambda \widetilde{p}(x)+\widetilde{q}(x)] \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)=\lambda^{2} \rho(x) \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, by multiplying (22) with $\widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)$ and (23) with $\varphi(x, \lambda)$, second subtracting them side by side and then integrating on $(0, \pi)$, we get

$$
\left.\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}[2 \lambda(\widetilde{p}(x)-p(x))+\widetilde{q}(x)-q(x))\right] \varphi(x, \lambda) \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) d x=\left.\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}(x, \lambda) \varphi(x, \lambda)-\varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda) \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)\right)\right|_{0} ^{\pi / 2}+\left.\right|_{\pi / 2} ^{\pi}
$$

from the hypothesis $p(x)=\widetilde{p}(x), q(x)=\widetilde{q}(x)$ on $\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right)$ and the initial conditions $\varphi(0, \lambda)=1, \varphi^{\prime}(0, \lambda)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}[2 \lambda(\widetilde{p}(x)-p(x))+(\widetilde{q}(x)-q(x))] \varphi(x, \lambda) \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) d x+\widetilde{h}-h+\varphi^{\prime}(\pi, \lambda) \widetilde{\varphi}(\pi, \lambda)-\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}(\pi, \lambda) \varphi(\pi, \lambda)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
P(x):=\widetilde{p}(x)-p(x), Q(x):=\widetilde{q}(x)-q(x)
$$

and

$$
H(\lambda):=\widetilde{h}-h+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(2 \lambda P(x)+Q(x)) \varphi(x, \lambda) \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) d x
$$

It is clear from the properties of $\varphi(x, \lambda), \varphi^{\prime}(x, \lambda)$ and the boundary conditions (2) that the first term in (24) vanishes and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}$.
Let us define

$$
H_{1}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} P(x) \varphi(x, \lambda) \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) d x, H_{2}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} Q(x) \varphi(x, \lambda) \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) d x
$$

then equation (25) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\widetilde{h}-h)+2 \lambda_{n} H_{1}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)+H_{2}\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=0 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21) and (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H(\lambda)| \leq\left(C_{1}+C_{2}|\lambda|\right) \exp (\tau \pi) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all complex $\lambda$, where $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ is constant.
If we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\lambda):=\frac{H(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\Phi(\lambda)$ is an entire function with respect to $\lambda$.
It follows from (11) and (27) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\lambda)=O(1) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$.
Using Liouville's Theorem, we obtain

$$
\Phi(\lambda)=C, \text { for all } \lambda
$$

where $C$ is a constant.
Now, we can rewrite the equation $H(\lambda)=C \Delta(\lambda)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\widetilde{h}-h)+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}(2 \lambda P(x)+Q(x)) \varphi(x, \lambda) \widetilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) d x= \\
& C\left\{-\beta^{+}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+\beta^{-}\left(\lambda \alpha-\frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+H \beta^{+} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{+}(\pi)-\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)+H \beta^{-} \cos \left(\lambda \mu^{-}(\pi)+\frac{\omega(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)\right\}+O\left(\exp \left(\tau \mu^{+}(\pi)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, the limit of the left side of the above equality exists for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we get that $C=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\widetilde{h}-h)+2 \lambda H_{1}(\lambda)+H_{2}(\lambda)=0 \text { for all } \lambda \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (21),

$$
2 H_{1}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} P(x) \cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} P_{1}(t) \cos (2 \lambda t-\theta(t)) d t-\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} P_{2}(t) \sin (2 \lambda t-\theta(t)) d t
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(t)=P(t)+\int_{t}^{\pi / 2} P(x) H_{c}(x, t) d x, \quad P_{2}(t)=\int_{t}^{\pi / 2} P(x) H_{s}(x, t) d x \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we change the order of integration, apply partial integration and take $P_{1}(\pi / 2)=P(\pi / 2)$ and $P_{2}(\pi / 2)=$ 0 into account, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
2 H_{1}(\lambda)= & \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} P(x) \cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} T_{1}(t) e^{2 i \lambda t} d t-\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} T_{2}(t) e^{-2 i \lambda t} d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} P(x) \cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) d x+\frac{P(\pi / 2)}{2 \lambda} \sin [\lambda \pi-\theta(\pi / 2)]  \tag{32}\\
& -\frac{P_{2}(0)}{2 \lambda}+\frac{i}{2 \lambda} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} T_{1}^{\prime}(t) e^{2 i \lambda t} d t-\frac{i}{2 \lambda} \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} T_{2}^{\prime}(t) e^{-2 i \lambda t} d t
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
T_{1}(t)=\frac{P_{1}(t)+i P_{2}(t)}{2} e^{-i \theta(t)}, \quad T_{2}(t)=\frac{P_{1}(t)-i P_{2}(t)}{2} e^{i \theta(t)}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
2 H_{2}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} Q(x) \cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} Q_{1}(t) \cos (2 \lambda t-\theta(t)) d t-\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} Q_{2}(t) \sin (2 \lambda t-\theta(t)) d t,
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}(t)=Q(t)+\int_{t}^{\pi / 2} Q(x) H_{c}(x, t) d x, \quad Q_{2}(t)=\int_{t}^{\pi / 2} Q(x) H_{s}(x, t) d x \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By changing the order of integration, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 H_{2}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} Q(x) \cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} R_{1}(t) e^{2 i \lambda t} d t+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} R_{2}(t) e^{-2 i \lambda t} d t \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R_{1}(t)=\frac{Q_{1}(t)+i Q_{2}(t)}{2} e^{-i \theta(t)}, \quad R_{2}(t)=\frac{Q_{1}(t)-i Q_{2}(t)}{2} e^{i \theta(t)}
$$

If (32) and (34) are substituted into (30) , we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\widetilde{h}-h)+2 \lambda \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} P(x) \cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} Q(x) \cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) d x+P(\pi / 2) \sin (\lambda \pi-\theta(\pi / 2))  \tag{35}\\
& -P_{2}(0)+i \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} T_{1}^{\prime}(t) e^{2 i \lambda t} d t-i \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} T_{2}^{\prime}(t) e^{-2 i \lambda t} d t+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} R_{1}(t) e^{2 i \lambda t} d t+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} R_{2}(t) e^{-2 i \lambda t} d t=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ in (35), then it follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} P(x) \cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) d x=0  \tag{36}\\
P(\pi / 2)=0 \\
2(\widetilde{h}-h)+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} Q(x) \cos (\omega(x)-\widetilde{\omega}(x)) d x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(R_{1}(t)+i T_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right) e^{2 i \lambda t} d t+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(R_{2}(t)-i T_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right) e^{-2 i \lambda t} d t=0
$$

for all complex number $\lambda$.
Since the system $\left\{e^{ \pm 2 i \lambda t}: \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ is entire in $L_{2}\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, it follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
R_{1}(t)+i T_{1}^{\prime}(t)=0 \\
R_{2}(t)-i T_{2}^{\prime}(t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

which yields the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(Q_{1}(t)+P_{1}(t) \theta^{\prime}(t)-P_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)+i\left(Q_{2}(t)+P_{2}(t) \theta^{\prime}(t)+P_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)=0 \\
\left(Q_{1}(t)+P_{1}(t) \theta^{\prime}(t)-P_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)-i\left(Q_{2}(t)+P_{2}(t) \theta^{\prime}(t)+P_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

And hence,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}(t)+P_{1}(t) \theta^{\prime}(t)-P_{2}^{\prime}(t)=0  \tag{37}\\
Q_{2}(t)+P_{2}(t) \theta^{\prime}(t)+P_{1}^{\prime}(t)=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Substituting (31) and (33) into system (37) and taking $P(\pi / 2)=0$ into account, it yields

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
Q(t) & =-\int_{t}^{\pi / 2} H_{c}(x, t) Q(x) d x-\int_{t}^{\pi / 2}\left(\theta^{\prime}(t) H_{c}(x, t)-\frac{\partial H_{s}(x, t)}{\partial t}\right) P(x) d x-\left(\theta^{\prime}(t)+H_{s}(t, t)\right) P(t)  \tag{38}\\
P(t) & =-\int_{t}^{\pi / 2} P^{\prime}(x) d x \\
P^{\prime}(t) & =-\int_{t}^{\pi / 2} H_{s}(x, t) Q(x) d x-\int_{t}^{\pi / 2}\left(\theta^{\prime}(t) H_{s}(x, t)+\frac{\partial H_{c}(x, t)}{\partial t}\right) P(x) d x+H_{c}(t, t) P(t)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

If we denote that

$$
F(t)=\left(Q(t), P(t), P^{\prime}(t)\right)^{T}
$$

and

$$
K(x, t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{c}(x, t) & \theta^{\prime}(t) H_{c}(x, t)-\frac{\partial H_{s}(x, t)}{\partial t} & -\left(\theta^{\prime}(t)+H_{s}(t, t)\right) \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
H_{s}(x, t) & \theta^{\prime}(t) H_{s}(x, t)+\frac{\partial H_{c}(x, t)}{\partial t} & H_{c}(t, t)
\end{array}\right)
$$

equation (38) can be reduced to a vector form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)+\int_{t}^{\pi / 2} K(x, t) F(x) d x=0 \text { for } 0<t<\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the equation (39) is a homogenous Volterra integral equation, it only has the trivial solution. Therefore, we obtain

$$
F(t)=0 \text { for } 0<t<\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

that gives us

$$
Q(t)=P(t)=0 \text { for } 0<t<\frac{\pi}{2} .
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
p(x)=\widetilde{p}(x) \text { and } q(x)=\widetilde{q}(x) \text { on }(0, \pi) .
$$

Moreover, it is obvious that $h=\widetilde{h}$ from (36).

## Appendix

Substituting the functions $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ and $\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x, \lambda)$ instead of $y$ and $y^{\prime \prime}$ in equation (1), respectively, we directly get following equalities
$\omega(x)=x p(0)+\frac{2 \rho(x)}{\beta^{+}} \int_{0}^{x}\left[A\left(\xi, \mu^{+}(\xi)\right) \sin \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}-B\left(\xi, \mu^{+}(\xi)\right) \cos \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}\right] d \xi$,
$p(x)=p(0)+\left.\frac{2 \alpha^{2}}{\beta^{-}}\left[A(x, t) \sin \frac{\omega(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}+B(x, t) \cos \frac{\omega(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}\right]\right|_{t=\mu^{-}(x)-0} ^{\mu^{-}(x)+0}$,
$\beta^{+}\left[q(x)+\left(\frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}\right)^{2}\right]=2 \sqrt{\rho(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\left[A\left(x, \mu^{+}(x)\right) \cos \frac{\omega(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}+B\left(x, \mu^{+}(x)\right) \sin \frac{\omega(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}\right]$,
$\beta^{-}\left[q(x)+\left(\frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}\right)^{2}\right]=2 \sqrt{\rho(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\left\{\left.\left[A(x, t) \cos \frac{\omega(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}-B(x, t) \sin \frac{\omega(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}\right]\right|_{t=\mu^{-}(x)-0} ^{\mu^{-}(x)+0}\right\}$,
$B(x, 0)=\left.\frac{\partial A(x, t)}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=0$
and additionally if we suppose that $p(x) \in W_{2}^{2}(0, \pi), q(x) \in W_{2}^{1}(0, \pi)$, then the functions $A(x, t)$ and $B(x, t)$ satisfy the following system of partial differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial^{2} A(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}-q(x) A(x, t)-2 p(x) \frac{\partial B(x, t)}{\partial t}=\rho(x) \frac{\partial^{2} A(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} B(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}-q(x) B(x, t)+2 p(x) \frac{\partial A(x, t)}{\partial t}=\rho(x) \frac{\partial^{2} B(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$
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